

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/916,087	<b>Applicant(s)</b> TSO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jean B. Corrielus	<b>Art Unit</b> 2611	

**All Participants:**

(1) Jean B. Corrielus.

(2) Gregory Gulliver.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 November 2008

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

3-4, and 15-19

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's rep. agrees to amend the above claims as indicated in the attached examiner's amendment and to file a terminal disclaimer in order to avoid a double patenting rejection in view of US Patent No. 7,369,830. Such amendment is necessary in order to correct for some informalities so as to place the application in better form for allowance*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

